Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2018 - 2019 - Padstow School

	Topic Termion Strategy Statement 2010 2017 Tadalow Series						
1. Summary in	1. Summary information						
School	Padstow	,					
Academic Year	18-19	Total PP budget	£51400	Date of most recent PP Review	10/18		
Total number of pupils	165	Number of pupils eligible for PP	34	Date for next internal review of this strategy	04/19		

2. Current attainment				
2018 S	ATs	Y6 pupils eligible for PP (5)	Pupils not eligible for PP (10)	
% meeting standard or above in reading, writing and maths		40%	60%	
% me	eting standard or above in reading	40%	90%	
% med	eting standard or above in writing	60%	80%	
% meeting standard or above in maths				
% atta	ining greater depth in reading, writing and maths	7%	0%	
% atta	ining greater depth in reading	7%	30%	
% atta	ining greater depth in writing	7%	30%	
% atta	ining greater depth in maths	7%	10%	
	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)			
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral lan	guage skills)		
A. Over two thirds of the PP children in the school have social, emotional and mental health issues impacting on academic performance and behaviour for learning. Those needs need to be met before they can fully engage in learning.				
В.				

C.	Poor language and communication. Disadvantaged pupils come from low starting points A significant number of pupils enter the EYFS below ARE, particularly in speech, language						
D.	Co-existing needs. 41% of PP pupils also have co-existing SEN needs.						
	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attenda	nce rates)					
E.	Attendance rates for all eligible PP pupils last school year (17-18) was 92.2%						
F.	Disengagement of some parents and low aspirations towards their child's attainment.						
	4. Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria					
A.	SEMH – Reduce levels of anxiety and increase levels of engagement using specially trained staff and a whole school TIS approach.	Increase in resilience Reduced anxiety resulting in pupils' learning engagement and progress, as well as increased well-being.					
В.	Evaluation timetable.	Increased learning engagement and accelerated progress. This will be evidenced by ongoing assessment, termly and end of year data.					
C.	Robust approach to earlier identification of need in this area so that intervention can be targeted.	, ,					
D.	Clear identification process which supports early identification of additional needs to further develop our programme of intervention and individualised pupil support through Assess, Plan, Do, Review process, so that all interventions are accurate and reviewed robustly. Continue to develop links between the EYFS teacher and the pre-school.	Regular Pupil PM meetings will ensure that intervention is focussed and targeted leading to careful grouping and support. Teaching staff adhere to the Aspire SEND flow chart for					

E.	Improved attendance for all pupils, including those PP pupils with frequent or prolonged	escalation and de-escalation of the Plan, Do, Assess, Review process. Interventions are regularly quality assured by the SENDCo, Pupil Premium Champion, SLT and Pastoral Lead, alongside external monitoring. Half termly monitoring will show an improvement over time.
	Parents will be contacted by the class teacher on the second day of absence to encourage communication and relationship building between parent, pupil and teacher.	
F.	Individual meetings or phone calls provide a welcoming environment to ensure parents feel able to contact the school regarding any aspects of their child's needs.	Increased parental engagement and participation in all aspects of school life.

5. Plar	nned expenditure				
Academic ye	2018 - 20 ²	19			
provide targeted s		nools to demonstrate how they rt whole school strategies	are using the Pupil Premiu	um to improv	ve classroom pedagogy,
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

All pupils o Quality Firs Teaching.	t	training to be accessed by teachers and teaching	evidences that QFT has a major impact on pupil's progress and has the greatest impact on the most	Rigorous and robust Monitoring and Evaluation timetable. External monitoring and pupil progress meetings.	Head of School SLT Pastoral Lead	Ongoing.
Teachers had clear data responsibilitracking arimproving progress of Pupils.	i and the ity for nd the f all PP	Use both summative and formative assessments,	End of year data gives us data analysis of PP and non-PP within the same cohort and we will monitor this alongside national figures.	Rigorous and robust Monitoring and	Head of School SLT Pastoral Lead All Teachers	Ongoing
				Total bu	dgeted cost	£5000

ii.Targeted support

Desired outcome	•		How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
PP pupils to have additional support.	additional support in the form of teaching assistants.	reach full potential. TAs support pupils through intervention and in lessons. Interventions planned by class teachers using formative	interventions will be monitored by baseline and end of intervention assessments.	Head of School SLT Pastoral Lead All Teachers	Ongoing

		assessments to ensure barriers to learning are addressed.			
Improved focus on the progress of PP pupils.	meetings to include a specific focus on PP pupils.	reach full potential. An overall school focus on these pupils will ensure they make progress from their unique starting points.	to share evidence and examples of what is working well and ideas on how to support if change is needed. Extra parent teacher meetings to discuss pupil's individual barriers and to increase parental engagement.	School SLT Pastoral Lead All Teachers	Ongoing
	Total budgeted cost				

iii.Other approaches					
Desired outcome	•		How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Improved focus on providing children		We understand from research that PP pupils do not always		Head of School	Pupil progress meetings
with an	O ,	, , ,	cover provided to ensure		Teacher meetings with a PF
understanding of	their class	A regular, individual, focused	the meetings take place.	Pastoral	focus.
their next steps		meeting will ensure that these		Lead	
enabling them to		pupils begun to take ownership of their own			

active learners.	learning and how they may improve.	Class Teachers			
		Total budgeted cost	£14990.		
6. Review of expenditure - taken from previous strategy.					

Previous Academic Year 2017/18

Identified Barrier to Learning	School Action	Cost	Identified pupils	Evaluation 2018	New to 2017-18 or Continued from previous strategy
Regular reading at home with an adult.	Volunteer readers directed to hear PPM pupils on a regular/ daily basis.	£150 (training and support)	All year groups	1 volunteer has been coming into school on a regular basis, working with Y5 initially and more recently with Y3.	New
Keeping up with pace of learning in class.	Pre-teach sessions in small groups.	£18,551	KS2 pupils	Each class has been able to have the support of a teaching assistant.	Continued
Some disadvantaged pupils with poor development starting points compared to peers, as well as emotional issues causing them to be unable to	Thrive Practitioner Support in small groups and individual sessions	£3,000 (training) £12,500 (staffing) £1,500 (resourcing)	All pupils	Thrive Practitioner undertook work across the school but her role changed to supporting one particular class with a group of challenging boys in. This work aimed to limit the impact of their behaviour on	Continued

engage fully with learning.	the rest of the class and to enable them to be in a calmer frame of mind to participate more in their learning. These boys transitioned well into the next class.			
7. Addition	annex or refer to additional information which you have used to support the sections above.			
Mid-year review of impact of PP funding:				